3rd September 1958]

காமையிலும், ஜன நெருக்கம் அதிகம் இல்லாமலும் இருக்கின்ற இடங்களாக இருக்கவேண்டும் என்பது தான். அதாவது நகரப்புறங்களிலிருந்து பத்து, அல்லது பதினைந்து மைல்கள் தூரத்தில் ஏற்படுத்த வேண்டும் என்று திட்டமிடப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. அந்த முறையில் தான் ஸாட்டிலைட் டௌண் ஏற்படுத்தப்பட்டு வருகின்றன.

Sri S. LAZAR : Sir, is there any proposal from Government to take some of the Government offices to the villages?

The Hon. Sri R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, this question does not deal with Government offices.

Sri K. B. S. MANI : ஸார், கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் பதில் சொல்லும் போது சேரிகள் அதிகமாக உண்டாகாமல் இருப்பதற்காக நகர் புறங்களில் தொழிற்சாலைகளை ஏற்படுத்துகிறோம் என்று சொன்னார்கள். அப்படி ஏற்படுத்துகிறபோது அதிகமாக அரிஜனங்கள் தான் நகரபுறங்களுக்கு வருவதாக தெரிகிறது. ஆகவே அரிஜனங்களுக்கு அதில் முதலிடம் கொடுப்பதற்கு அரசாங்கம் முன் வருமா?

The Hon. Sri R. VENKATARAMAN : இந்த கேள்விக்கும் கனம் அங்கத்தினர் அவர்கள் கேட்பதற்கும் சம்பந்தம் இல்லை என்று நினைக்கிறேன்.

Working Journalists

*25-I Q.—Sri K. SATTANATHA KARAYALAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether there was a stay-in-strike by working journalists in any one of the Madras dailies on 1st June 1958;

(b) if so, for what reasons; and

(c) what steps the Government have taken to redress the grievances of the working journalists?

The Hon. Sri R. VENKATARAMAN : (a) & (b) The working journalists of "Dina Thanthi", Madras, went on a stay-in-strike on 1st June 1958, as a protest against the action of the management in having reduced the status of an Acting News Editor to that of a Sub-Editor.

(c) The working journalists raised an industrial dispute in this respect and the Government have referred the dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court, Madras.

Sri K. SATTANATHA KARAYALAR : Sir, is it a fact that the management has resorted to individual harassment of trade union workers and also has formed a Watch and Ward Force to check and harass these workers?

The Hon. Sri R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, several complaints are received. Government cannot express any opinion on them.

Sri S. B. ADITYAN : Sir, is it right that there is no question of salary involved in the dispute? As a matter of fact, the salary of the concerned person was more than double the salary of the Working Journalist in "Jana Sakthi".

Sri M. KALYANASUNDARAM : On a point of order, Sir. Sri Adityan is one of the proprietors of this concern. So he should not be permitted to put any question on this subject and it should be expunged from the proceedings of this House.

[3rd September 1958

MR. SPEAKER: I was about to say "Out of Order" because there is no reference to "Jana Sakthi". The question is about a dispute in "Dina Thanthi" which has been referred to the Labour Court for adjudication.

SRI S. B. ADITYAN: The hon. Member who put the question is also interested in another paper and so he should not also be permitted to put a question on this subject.

MR. SPEAKER: Sri Sattanatha Karayalar, are you interested in any paper?

SRI K. SATTANATHA KARAYALAR: Certainly not, Sir.

Working Journalists

* 25-J *Q.*—SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received any representation from the Working Journalists employed in the "Thanthi Trust", Madras, alleging reversion of grades and reduction in scales of pay with effect from 1st April 1958; and

(*b*) what action has been taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: (*a*) Yes, Sir. A representation from the Madras Union of Journalists was received by the Government on 30th April 1958, complaining that the management of "Daily Thanthi" reduced the wages of their working journalists for the month of March 1958.

(*b*) The Government had a discussion with the parties on 15th May 1958. It was understood that some of the working journalists employed in the "Daily Thanthi" have signed an undertaking agreeing to the re-fixation of their salaries at the mean of the original salary before 1st May 1957 and revised salary fixed with reference to the decisions of the Wage Board for working journalists as from 1st March 1958 and that such of these working journalists who did not sign the undertaking were paid at the same rate as they were getting before 1st May 1957. In the circumstances, it was considered that no interference was called for.

MR. SPEAKER: It is understood that even in Parliament if an hon. Member has any relationship or dealing or any connection with a paper about which a question has been asked, he should not take part in the proceedings on it. I am glad that Sri S. B. Adityan has raised this point in the House. I would, however, like to know whether Sri Adityan has any relationship with the "Dina Thanthi".

SRI S. B. ADITYAN: Sir, I have no financial interest.

MR. SPEAKER: What does the hon. Member mean by that? I rule that Sri S. B. Adityan cannot take part in this question. Sri M. Kalyanasundaram may now speak.